



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/024,215	12/21/2001	Ryoma Oami	Q67860	9094	
7590 07/05/2005			EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE, M	•	VO, TUNG T			
MACPEAK & S 2100 Pennsylva	SEAS, PLLC nia Avenue, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Washington, D			2613		
			DATE MAILED: 07/05/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		lication No.	on No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		024,215	OAMI, RYOMA					
		niner	Art Unit					
	Tung	<u></u>	2613					
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears o	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMN - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than the lift NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	IUNICATION. isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In communication. irty (30) days, a reply within to um statutory period will apply reply will, by statute, cause to onths after the mailing date of	no event, however, may he statutory minimum of t and will expire SIX (6) Months he application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on <u>14 April 20</u>	<u>05</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL.	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in cond	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the p	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20,23 and 24 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) <u>1-20, 23 and 24</u> is/are	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20, 23 and 24</u> is/are rejected.							
_	<u></u>							
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or elect	ion requirement.						
Application Papers		•						
9) The specification is objected to t	y the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is object	ed to by the Examine	er. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a classification of the price of the pr	of: ority documents have	e been received.						
2. Certified copies of the price								
	•		en received in this National	Stage				
application from the Interest * See the attached detailed Office	·		nt received					
oce the attached detailed office t		contined copies in	ot received.					
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			v Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 	•		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6)						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Peak (US 5,847,766) as set forth in the previous Office Action dated 01/14/2005 and the discussion below.

Re claim 20, Peak discloses all limitations as set forth in the previous Office Action dated 01/14/2005 and further teaches wherein a constant which can make a variation in quantization fineness among sections is used when the target bit number is calculated (col. 3, lines 31-43, e.g. K1 and K2) which can make a variation in quantization fineness among sections is used (col. 6, lines 45-col. 7, line 10) when the target bit number is calculated (col. 6, lines 16-24, e.g. the target bits belonging to a macroblock having a macroblock classification Mc and a block variance classification Bv is expressed TB[Mc] [Bv], which is computed by the following third equation (3)as shown in col. 6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/024,215

Art Unit: 2613

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ryoo (US 5,990,957) in view of Sun et al. (US 5,790,196) as set forth in the previous Office Action dated 01/14/2005 and the discussion below.

Claims 1-24, the combination of Ryoo and Sun teaches all limitations as set forth in the previous Office Action dated 01/14/2005 and Sun further suggests calculating an uncoded VOP allocation bit number that is the total number allocation bits for uncoded VOPs in a certain period of time based on allocatable bit number information indicating the total number of allocatable bits for the VOPs in a certain period of time and the number of generated bits for the encoded VOPs based on the predictive area calculating parameter... (col. 3, lines 14-30, e.g. each object maintains its own set of parameters. With these parameters, an initial target bit estimate is made for each object after a first frame is encoded. Based on output buffer fullness, the total target is adjusted and then distributed proportional to the amount of header bits used in the previous corresponding object. Based on the new individual targets and second order model parameters, appropriate quantization parameters can be calculated for each video object; wherein T Total bit count including texture, shape, motion and header bits (all Vos). In the event that s>0, the total target, T, is distributed proportional to the header information of the previously coded frame; and a difference between the actual number of header bits used for all objects in a previous frame and the total number of target bits available for those objects in an instant frame). Furthermore, Sun suggests temporal variations based on the history of the area data (in the applicant's specification describes that the size of the object is area) (col. 8, lines 64-68, e.g. mean absolute difference for current VO after motion compensation X1[i], X2[i] First and

Application/Control Number: 10/024,215

Art Unit: 2613

second order complexity measures Q[i] Quantization parameter for ith VO N_skip_post Number of frames to skip according to post-frame skip N_skip-pre Number of frames to skip according to pre-frame skip). Therefore, the claimed features are unpatentable over the combination of Ryoo and Sun.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung Vo whose telephone number is 571-272-7340. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 2613

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tung Vo

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2613